

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 10/661,804 09/12/2003 Gerold Schuler 1430/16 8361 EXAMINER 25297 7590 01/19/2006 JENKINS, WILSON & TAYLOR, P. A. QIAN, CELINE X 3100 TOWER BLVD ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER **SUITE 1400** DURHAM, NC 27707 1636

DATE MAILED: 01/19/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/661,804	SCHULER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Celine X. Qian Ph.D.	1636
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_ :	
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) 1-23 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a) All b) Some * c) None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage		
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.		
10		
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate
3) L Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office		0.10
PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05) Office Ac	ction Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 0106

Application/Control Number: 10/661,804

Art Unit: 1636

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-21 are pending in the application.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-3, 8-10, 19 and 20, drawn to suppressive and/or regulative CD4+
 CD25+ T cells, classified in class 435, subclass 325.
- II. Claims 4-7, drawn to a method for expanding CD4+CD25+ T cells, classified in class 435, subclass 455.
- III. Claim 11, drawn to a method of use CD4+CD25+ T cells for preparing a regulatory medicament, unclassifiable.
- IV. Claim 12, drawn to a method to identify, monitor or remove CD4+CD25+ T cells from human blood and other tissue by using a binding agent, a stimulating agent or immunoadsorption method, classified in class 530, subclass 387.1.
- V. Claims 13, 15, 22 and 23, drawn to methods of using T cell stimulating agent or antigen presenting cells for preparing an agent to treating diseases with enhanced immunity, unclassifiable.
- VI. Claim 14, drawn to a method of using CD4+CD25+ cells for identifying a number of other molecules, unclassifiable.
- VII. Claim 16, drawn to a method for adoptive transfer therapy by introducing enriched regulatory CD4+CD25+ back to the patients, classified in class 424, subclass 93.1.

Application/Control Number: 10/661,804

Art Unit: 1636

VIII. Claims 17 and 18, drawn to a method of preparing CD4+CD25+ T cells with a particular desired antigen-specific T cell receptor by activating said T cell with antigen presenting cells or using a ligand/antibody to a T cell receptor expressed on said T cells, classified in class 424, subclass 130.1

IX. Claim 21, drawn to a method of using CD4 or CD25 binding agents for preparing a medicament for removal or impairing of CD4+CD25+ T cells to enhance immune response, unclassifiable.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other for following reasons.

Inventions I and III, VI and VII are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the product of Group I is not limited to a single use, it can be used in different methods as the inventions claimed in Groups III, VI and VII. Therefore, the invention of Groups I and III, VI and VII are patentably distinct.

Inventions II-IX are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the inventions of Groups II-IX are patentably distinct from each other because they are drawn to methods that require different starting material and modes of operation. Each method requires distinct steps to achieve different purposes. Therefore, the inventions of Groups II-IX are patentably distinct from each other.

Application/Control Number: 10/661,804

Art Unit: 1636

The inventions of Groups I and II, IV, V, VIII, IX are patentably distinct from each other because the inventions are drawn to products and methods that are not directly related. The method of Groups I, II, IV, V, VIII and IX do not require the product or Group I, whereas the product of Group I has other uses, such as host cells for expressing T cell specific proteins.

Therefore, the inventions of Groups I and II, IV, V, VIII, IX are patentably distinct from each other.

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper. A search of the subject matter of one invention would not be co-

Art Unit: 1636

extensive with a search of the other invention, and therefore the search would be burdensome.

Each invention is capable of supporting a separate patent.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Celine X. Qian Ph.D. whose telephone number is 571-272-0777. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-6:00 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Remy Yucel Ph.D. can be reached on 571-272-0781. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/661,804 Page 6

Art Unit: 1636

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Celine X Qian Ph.D. Examiner Art Unit 1636

CELIAN QIAN
PATENT EXAMINER

182